FILE COPY

SUPREME COURT, U.S.
FILED
JUN 17 [illegible]
CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

No. [redacted] 123

MRS. FAY M. HOSHMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS NATURAL TUTRIX OF THE MINORS OF RUSSELL M. HOSHMAN,

Petitioner,

versus

ESSO STANDARD OIL COMPANY

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

WARREN E. MILLER,
Suite 1012, Barr Building,
910 Seventeenth Street, N. W.,
Washington, D. C.

H. ALVA BRUMFIELD,
205 American Bank Building,
Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Attorneys for Mrs. Fay M. Hoshman,
Petitioner.

INDEX

Subject Index

	Page
Opinions below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Questions presented	2
Constitutional provisions	3
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	3
Statutes involved	3
Statement	7
Reasons for granting the writ	8
I. The Substantive Law of Louisiana permits this action to be brought	9
II. The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals erred in applying the test of Louisiana Courts in judging the sufficiency of allegations of a complaint, instead of the criteria embodied in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	13
Conclusion	21
Proof of service	22
Appendix "A"—Opinion of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals	23
Appendix "B"—Judgment of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals	29
Appedix "C"—Order of the District Court granting the motion to dismiss	30
Appendix "D"—Judgment of the District Court	31
Appendix "E"—Petitioner's Complaint	32

Table of Authorities

Adkins' Heirs v. Crawford, Jenkins & Booth, 200 La. 561, 8 So. (2d) 539	16
Ane v. *Ane*, 225 La. 222, 72 So. (2d) 485	16
Angel Creek Logging Co. v. *Atlas Plywood Corp.*, 22 F.R.D. 1	19

Page

Bartholomew v. *Impastato*, La. App., 12 So. (2d) 700 15
Black v. *First National Bank of Mobile, Ala.*, 5 Cir. 255 Fed. (2d) 373 18
Blazer v. *Black*, 196 F. 2d 139 20
Boyd v. *American Mutual Liability Insurance Company, supra*, La. App., 11 So. (2d) 102 17
Brooks v. *American Mutual Liability Insurance Company*, La. App., 7 So. (2d) 658 17
Byrd v. *Bates*, 5 Cir., 220 F. (2d) 480 18
Carss v. *Outboard Marine Corporation*, 5 Cir., 252 F. (2d) 690 14, 19
Central Ice Cream Co. v. *Golden Rod Ice Cream Co.*, 7 Cir., 257 F. (2d) 417 19
Citizens Bank & Trust Company of Ruston v. *Cook*, 9 La. App. 540, 121 So. 306 11
City of Daytona Beach v. *Gannett Fleming Corddry & Carpenter*, 5 Cir., 253 F. (2d) 771 19
Clark v. *Southern Kraft Corporation*, La. App., 200 So. 489 12
Conley v. *Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 78 Sup. Ct. 99, 2 L. Ed. (2d) 80 13, 19, 20
Des Isles v. *Evans*, 5 Cir., 200 F. (2d) 614 14, 19
DeLoach v. *Crowley's, Inc.*, 128 F. 2d 378 19
Diggers v. *Bus. Men's Assur. Co. of America*, 219 F. 2d 292 20
Dioguardi v. *Durning*, 139 F. 2d 774 20
Dotschay v. *Nat'l Mutual Life Ins. Co.*, 5th Cir., 246 F. (2d) 221 19, 20
Faulk v. *Hough* 14 La. App. 659 11
Faulkner v. *Milner-Fuller, Inc.*, La. App., 154 So. 507 12
Griffing v. *Atkins*, 1 So. (2d) 445 11
Hawkins v. *Frick-Reid Supply Corporation*, 154 F. 2d 88 20
Hill v. *Maguire*, 19 La. App. 798, 140 So. 169 10
Hutches v. *Renfroe*, 200 F. 2d 337 20
Ingersoll Corporation v. *Rogers*, 217 La. 79, 46 So. 2d 45 16

Page

John Walker and Sons v. *Tampa Cigar Co.*, 197 F. 2d 72 18
Kansas City St. L. & C. R. Co. v. *Alton R. Co.*, 124 F. 2d 780 20
Keiser v. *Walsh*, 73 App. D.C. 167, 118 F. 2d 13 20
Kennedy v. *Perry Timber Co.*, 219 La. 264, 52 So. 2d 847 16
Knudsen v. *Torrington*, 254 F. 2d 283 19
Leimer v. *State Mutual Life Assur. Co.*, 108 F. 2d 302 20
Leteff v. *Maryland Casualty Co.*, La. App., 82 So. (2d) 80 16
Millet v. *Godchaux Sugars*, 5 Cir., 241 F. (2d) 264 14
Morritt v. *Fine*, 5 Cir., 242 F. (2d) 128
Outdoor Electric Advertising v. *Saurage*, 207 La. 344, 21 So. (2d) 375 16
Rome v. *London & Lancashire Indemnity Co.*, 181 La. 630, 160 So. 121 15
Roy O. Martin Lumber Co. v. *Saint Denis Securities Co.*, 225 La. 51, 72 So. (2d) 257 16
Schoonover v. *Schoonover*, 172 F. 2d 526 20
Smith v. *Kennon*, 188 La. 101, 175 So. 763 16
Spiers v. *Davidson*, 233 La. 239, 96 So. (2d) 502 16
Termini v. *McCormick*, 208 La. 221, 23 So. (2d) 52 16
Thieme v. *Collier*, 13 La. App. 651, 128 So. 730 10
Trumbaturi v. *Katz & Besthoff*, 180 La. 915, 158 So. 16 15
Wischer v. *Madison Realty Co., Inc.*, 231 La. 704, 92 So. (2d) 589 16

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

No.

MRS. FAY M. HOSHMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS NATURAL TUTRIX OF THE MINORS OF RUSSELL M. HOSHMAN,

Petitioner,

versus

ESSO STANDARD OIL COMPANY

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT.

Mrs. Fay M. Hoshman, individually and as Natural Tutrix of her minor children, Petitioner, prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fitfth Circuit in the above entitled case.

Opinions Below

No opinion of the District Court in Hoshman v. Esso Standard Oil Company was written; the opinion of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in this case (Appendix "A", *infra*, pp. 23-28) is reported at 263 F. 2d 499.